

Case Examiner Decision
Helen Holmes - SW93542
FTPS-21891

The role of the case examiners

The case examiners perform a filtering function in the fitness to practise process, and their primary role is to determine whether the case ought to be considered by adjudicators at a formal hearing. The wider purpose of the fitness to practise process is not to discipline the social worker for past conduct, but rather to consider whether the social worker's current fitness to practise might be impaired because of the issues highlighted. In reaching their decisions, case examiners are mindful that Social Work England's primary objective is to protect the public.

Case examiners apply the 'realistic prospect' test. As part of their role, the case examiners will consider whether there is a realistic prospect:

- the facts alleged could be found proven by adjudicators.
- adjudicators could find that one of the statutory grounds for impairment is engaged.
- adjudicators could find the social worker's fitness to practise is currently impaired.

If the case examiners find a realistic prospect of impairment, they consider whether there is a public interest in referring the case to a hearing. If there is no public interest in a hearing, the case examiners can propose an outcome to the social worker. We call this accepted disposal, and a case can only be resolved in this way if the social worker agrees with the case examiners' proposal.

Case examiners review cases on the papers only. The case examiners are limited, in that, they are unable to hear and test live evidence, and therefore they are unable to make findings of fact.

Decision summary

Decision summary	
Preliminary outcome (1)	20 April 2026
	Information requested Submissions requested
Preliminary outcome (2)	19 June 2026
	Accepted disposal proposed – removal order
Final outcome	31 July 2026
	Accepted disposal – removal order

Executive summary

The case examiners have reached the following conclusions:

1. There is a realistic prospect of regulatory concerns 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 being found proven by the adjudicators.
2. There is a realistic prospect of regulatory concerns 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 being found to amount to the statutory ground of misconduct.
3. For regulatory concerns 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 there is a realistic prospect of adjudicators determining that the social worker's fitness to practise is currently impaired.

The case examiners did not consider it to be in the public interest for the matter to be referred to a final hearing and that the case could be concluded by way of accepted disposal.

As such, the case examiners requested that the social worker be notified of their intention to resolve the case with a removal order.

On 23 July 2026, the social worker returned their signed accepted disposal response form indicating that they understood and accepted the proposal made to them to conclude this matter by way of a removal order.

Having reviewed the public interest in the case, the case examiners have determined that an accepted disposal - removal order remains the most appropriate outcome in this case.

The case examiners have considered all of the documents made available within the evidence bundle. Key evidence is referred to throughout their decision and the case examiners' full reasoning is set out below.